Demolition of constructions already covered under MPD-2021

1346. SHR1 RAJNATH SINGH: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the fact that after the lapse of Delhi Laws (Special Provisions) Act, 2006 on 18th May, 2007, the Municipal Corporation of Delhi have started demolishing, the unauthorised constructions, particularly in Shahdara North Zone which have already been covered under, the MPD-2021; and

(b) if, so, the steps taken by Government to stop the demolition drive for unautharised constructions already approved under the MPD-2021 in Shahdara North Zone?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) The Municipal Corporation of Delhi has informed that demolition action in Dilshad Colony, Shahdara North Zone is pursuant to the orders of High Court of Delhi in Writ Petition No. 11087/2006 dated 23.5.2007.

The National Capital Territory of Delhi Laws (Special Provisions) Ordinance was promolugated on 4.7.2007. The Ordinance provides that notwithstanding any judgement, decree or order of any court, *status quo* as on 1st day of January, 2006 shall be maintained in respect of encroachment or unauthorized development involving slum dwellers and *jhuggi jhompri* clusters, urban street vendors, existing farm houses involving construction beyond permissible limits, schools, dispensaries, religious institutions and cultural institutions in rural areas built on agricultural land.

Allotment of single flat to more than one person

1347. SHRI RAVULA CHANDRA SEKAR REDDY: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether the Public Grievance Cell in his Ministry has received any complaints like one flat was allotted to more than one person in EHS category;

(b) if so, the details of such flats allotted area-wise and category-wise especially in Narela;

(c) the reasons therefor and the action taken thereon;

(d) the official in DDA held responsible for allotting one flat to more than one person;

(e) the action taken against such officials so far;

(f) whether the DDA has given any compensation like interest to such flat allottees so far;

(g) if so, the details thereof;

(h) if not, the reasons therefor; and

(i) the pending cases in courts in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI AJAY MAKEN): (a) and (b) As per computerised data maintained, no complaint of allotment of one flat to more than one person in EHS category has been received in the public Grievance Cell of this Ministry. However, Delhi Development Authority (DDA) has reported that complaints for allotment of one flat to more than one person in EHS category have been received in DDA in the following cases:

(i) Flat No. 421, Sector B-4, Pkt.6, Type-A Narela.

(ii) Flat No. 83, Sector B-4, Pkt.3, Type-B, Narela.

(iii) Flat No. 108, Sector B-4, Pkt.3, Type B, Narela.

(iv) Flat No. 81, Sector A-10, Pkt. 2, Gr. II, Narela.

(v) Flat No. 173, Sector-22, Pkt. 15, Type-A, Rohini.

(c) The DDA has informed that it was due to non-updating of the allotment data in the Computer system.

In respect of two flats, the amount deposited refunded on the request of the allottees. In respect flats, alternative allotments have been made to the persons.

(d) and (e) One UDC and one Assistant Director were held responsible. The Assistant Director was placed under suspension and disciplinary proceedings were initiated against both. Penalty of stoppage of one increment has been imposed on the Assistant Director. The UDC has since expired.

(f) to (h) The DDA has further informed that alternative allotment has been made to three allottees in the same locality and at the same cost. Therefore, the question of compensation like interest does not arise.

(i) No court case is pending in this regard as on date.

Conversion to freehold property in Jheel Karanja

1348. SHRI VARINDER SINGH BAJWA: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the number of plots given on 90 year lease in 1918 in Jheel Karanja colony in East Delhi;

(b) the number out of them which were declared evacuee property and later allotted to displaced persons from West Punjab;

(c) whether there is any proposal to convert these household plots on which houses have been constructed for decades to free hold;

(d) if not, the reasons therefor, particularly when a large number of household properties sold by DDA have been converted to free hold; and

(e) the action proposed to be taken in the matter according to Government's present thinking thereon?